# Variable Stars and how to find them

Filip Novotný Miroslav Lžičař Tomáš Bárta

With help of Dr. Martin Jelínek – Czech academy of sciences

# Discovering variable stars

Independently on 3rd-party catalogues

Unique approach

#### CzeV 1174 Aql



# Classification

Using artificial intelligence and novel dimensionality reduction method



LPV

aCep DPV

## Finding stars cookbook

- Capturing astronomical images
- Calibrating images
- Extracting data
- Magnitudes synchronization
- Finding varying light curves (to spot variable stars)
- Classifying the ,,most variable" stars

#### Observing the sky and extracting data

Obtaining raw data

Observing from AsU AV D50



#### Extracting from .FITS



#### SEXTRACTOR v2.13

#### Finding bright objects

3.5481 5.6038515148e-02 8.0748162933e-03 1 295,1971713 +30,0031453 -7,3117 0.1583 152,2118 4.63 0.617 2 295,1968326 +30,1572604 -7,2837 622.3002 4.3888 8.1294988886e-03 0.1429 3.4911047098e-02 4.07 0.530 3 295 1967809 +30 2832202 4.4812 -7 1/0/ 0.1499 1006.5091 1 19686102320-02 0.575 4 295,1970732 +30,0338559 -7,9799 3.7965 0.1075 245,8869 1.7914501118e-02 4.7472152531e-03 5.87 0.477 5 295.1966086 +30.2019611 -7.6987 0.1222 758,6502 4.9634 8836641197e-02 1 0024078060e-02 3.33 6 295.1966522 +30.0990849 -7.4655 0.1355 444.8540 4,8846 1 6522986674e-02 4.74 0.058 7 295,1962885 +30,0271287 0.1626 225.3760 5.8698 -7.3594 8736620874e-02 4.10 8 295,1970447 +29,9932900 122.1525 -7.81680.1671 3.8855 2.1787314117e-02 2.9101179172e-0 7.43 0.628 9 295,1965756 +30,2433412 99,0000 884,8699 5.0358 5.78 0.546 99.0000 .8675677860e-02 10 295.1967633 +30.2770971 99.0000 99.0000 987.8323 4.5298 2.9552607279e-02 1.2464680118e-02 0.492 11 295.1966396 +30.1689868 0.1482 -7.7803 658,0706 4.8933 9.4562064324e-03 4.96 12 295.1963121 +30.1608214 0.4147 633.1676 5.7592 .4634340238e-02 1 2863633004e-02 4.30 0.297 13 295,1933424 +30,2019939 6.8967 0.2769 758.7848 13.5677 3.7167990796e-02 1.6161286061e-02 3.41 0.377 14 295.1958734 +30.0974163 6.9390 0.0985 439.7727 9938420968e-02 1.4589173439e-02 5.17 0.269 15 295.1970058 +30.1932734 0.1191 732.1466 3.9199 2.7272877008e-03 1.5714505514e-0 5.12 0.372 16 295.1967512 +30.0534379 0.3038 305.6198 4.6395 2.3211787091e-03 5.51 0.262 7.2588 4.5340377969e-03 3.6274258538e-03 9 0000 99.0000 976,0630 4.7848 18 295, 1946018 +30, 1831722 0.2055 701.3611 10.2577 -7.8720 6.12 0.201 19 295. 0.1970 509.1945 5.9179 4.99 1962575 +30.1201772 7.7407 0.191 0.0431 62.7499 20 295.1969540 +29.9738149 9 /1832 4.1317 2.2394744935e-03 1.1218965836e-03 5.50 0 286 21 295.1946981 +30.1707623 0.1308 663.5070 10.0090 .8368003136e-02 1.8852382419e-02 4.45 0.222 22 295.1937553 +30.0704666 357.5909 12.5365 4.77 0.1257 84048924626-02 1.0040137869e-02 0.232 0.0941 923.7061 4.3179 3.4296881528e-03 0 446 23 295.19 58465 +30.2560742 1.1371372024e-0 6 60 288.1519 5.1244 3 84238023310-03 .0252742328e-0 4.75 0.165 25 295.1967047 +30.1 99,0000 724.0866 4.7142 .3132160993e-02 2.4567026325e-03 10.25 0.726 0.0636 809.9396 5.0507 1.3959960541e-03 10.07 0.220 27 295 0.0747 388.1421 8.5591 3.8029901715e-0 5.42 27024671300-02 0 109 28 295.1 669.7968 .4294111515e-03 9.07 29 295, 1914361 +30, 2174040 805.8098 18,5822 4 04328076390-02 1.7423745204e-02 3 44 0.1242 526.8308 6.1876 5 04952011790-03 31 295.1962852 +30.2515601 99.0000 909.9429 5.7975 7.9477444771e-03 7.74 3.6475933508e-03 32 295,1949286 +30,0900306 9.4335 -7 8469 0 1252 417 2549 1 41820799400-02 2 65612307306-02

Fail

Data are not cross-calibrated



#### Reference stars method

- Finding reference stars in images
- Comparing to web catalog
- Calculating zero-point  $m = m_m Z_{img}$

Disadvantages

- Relyance on web catalogue data (contains error)
- Complicated search of reference stars (and not always possible)





## Self referencing

Lets say most of the stars are constant

Most stars have a flat magnitude curvature

And we are finding only the varying data

Magnitudes are relative, they only make sense within this data source

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{N - 1}$$

#### Schyzo parameter



Variability index = Variance/schyzo

Catalogue comparison

## Light curves classification

- Obtaining training data from web catalogues
- Feature engineering
- Training classifiers
- Selecting best models



### Obtaining training data

• Representative set of light curves from OGLE survey (hard to make them of simmilar distribution)

2000 Classical Cepheids
82 Anomalous Cepheids
203 Type II Cepheids
2000 RRLyr Stars
2000 Long Period Variables
137 Double Period Variables
23 R CrB Stars
2000 δ Sct Stars

#### Different stars – different light curves



Descripting graphs by features

Period, amplitude, curvature, variance skewness...

## 24 features inspired by UPSILoN paper



Кеу	Description
amplitude (+)	Amplitude from the Fourier decomposition
hl_amp_ratio (+)	Ratio of higher and lower magnitudes than the average
kurtosis (+)	Kurtosis
period (+)	Period
phase_cusum (+)	Cumulative sum index over a phase-foled ligit curve
phase_eta (+)	Eta over a phase-foled ligit curve
phi21 (+)	2nd and 1st phase difference from the Fourier decomposition

	type	amplitude	cusum	eta	hl_amp_ratio	kurtosis	n_points	period	period_SNR	period_log10FAP	 quartile31
0	aCep	0.173048	0.126777	0.915260	0.689657	-1.110821	380	0.976699	96.164451	-49.621281	 0.26025
1	aCep	0.221470	0.166455	1.451716	0.402886	-1.183087	725	0.381785	133.016293	-119.716574	 0.32300
2	aCep	0.235030	0.151673	1.965137	1.035610	-1.178892	361	1.862021	99.042597	-52.283372	 0.33700
3	aCep	0.106398	0.070888	1.999927	0.617563	-1.077602	370	0.932103	105.255041	-55.526561	 0.14550
4	aCep	0.145742	0.084975	2.632110	0.933617	-1.075075	370	0.849591	105.562812	-56.930509	 0.20075

#### Feature correlation

Lower correlation (white squares) means better differentiantion



#### Dealing with high dimensionality



Andrew`s curves mapping of features on amplitude, curvature, period and skewness

f.e. on these features T2Cep looks more differentiated than LPV

#### t-distributed stochastic neighbour I PV aCep DPV embedding T2Cep RRLyr DSCT RCB Сер



#### Training classifiers

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Trying neural networks, support vector machines, logistic regression...

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Random forest

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Results

Trained on 2/3 of training data and evaluated on remaining 1/3 (that the algortihm never seen before)

To ensure the algorithm can generalise

RCB	0.99	0	0.003	0.002	0	0.002	0.005	0		
DSCT	0.12	0.84	0.023	0	θ	0	0.023	0		0.
aCep	0.002	0.002	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Cep	0	θ	θ	1	θ	θ	θ	θ		0.
NdO	θ	0	0	0.25	0.75	0	0	0		٥
RRLyr	0.005	θ	θ	θ	θ	0.99	θ	θ		σ.
T2Cep	0.091	0.03	θ	θ	θ	θ	0.86	0.015		0
ΓρΛ	0.17	θ	θ	θ	θ	0.2	0.033	0.6		ν.
	RCB	DSCT	aCep	Сер	DPV	RRLyr	T2Cep	LPV		

0.0

1.0

#### Command line interface

time	mag	mage
int	float	float
1472849577	-1.04948111e+01	2.28000004e-02
1472844716	-1.05995226e+01	1.97000001e-02
1472847239	-1.05626822e+01	1.82000007e-02
1472852102	-1.06074657e+01	2.67999992e-02
1472859341	-1.08553429e+01	2.48000007e-02
1472859490	-1.08047218e+01	2.26000007e-02
1472850216	-1.05295258e+01	2.4000002e-02
1472858499	-1.07993689e+01	2.00999994e-02
1472851974	-1.06263018e+01	3.04000005e-02
1472856272	-1.07689209e+01	2.15000007e-02
1472844759	-1.06238003e+01	1.93000007e-02
1472854342	-1.06142759e+01	2.50000004e-02
1472845780	-1.06167355e+01	1.82000007e-02
1472857124	-1.07746162e+01	1.89999994e-02
1472845035	-1.06064148e+01	1.77999996e-02
1472858286	-1.07329960e+01	2.0300009e-02
1472852902	-1.06398029e+01	1.93000007e-02
1472856123	-1.07224150e+01	2.06000004e-02
1472846133	-1.05883675e+01	1.79999992e-02
1472854938	-1.07115955e+01	1.86999999e-02
"718.tbl" 628L	, 307720	

#### OUT

IN

he	star	is	most	probably	RRLyr	with	probability	28,9999999999999	996%
A	11 pi	roba	abili	ties					
гу Сер	16.3	‰ 33 ያ	%						
PV 2Ce	12.33 p 17	3 % .67	%						
RL y SCT	r 28. 1.0	.67 %	%						
CB	15.0	%							
eμ.	0.0)	no.							

#### Results

1 Officialy registrated

and many other pending...

#### CzeV 1174 Aql

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

Id	dec	ra	period	type
822/01111	294.8821186	30.1943058	300d	Mira Ceti type
369/11107	287.83333329 19h 11min 20.0s		15.98h	W Uma type
718/11107	287.74555020 19h 10 min 58.93s	0.5016745999 0h 02min 0.40s	4.5h	RRC or delta so
664/11107	287.7233886 19h 10min 53.61s	0.7057814999 0 hod 2min 49.39s	11.22h	not sure

## Trying spectroscopy...

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Impacts and social relevance

- New methods and findings
  - photometry
  - classification
- Secondary science: get most from reusing older data
  - (even students can find their own)
- Zero-cost (no expenses on new images)

#### Resources

Bakis, V., Burgaz, U., Butterley, T., et al. 2016, The Astronomer's Telegram, 9376 E. Bertin, S. A. 1996, Astronomy and astrophysics supplement series, 393 Nekola, M., Hudec, R., Jelnek, M., et al. 2010, Experimental Astronomy, 28, 79 Terlouw, J. P. & Vogelaar, M. G. R. 2015, Kapteyn Package, version 2.3, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, Groningen, available from http://www.astro.rug.nl/software/kapteyn/ van Rossum, G. 1998, Python: a computer language. Version 1.5.1., Amsterdam, sticht-

ing Mathematisch Centrum.

Pedregosa, Fabian, et al. "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12.Oct (2011): 2825-2830.

Kim & Bailer-Jones 2015 A Package for the Automated Classification of Periodic Variable Stars A&A 587, A18 (2016)

Maaten, Laurens van der, and Geoffrey Hinton. "Visualizing data using t-SNE." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 9.Nov (2008): 2579-2605.

Udalski, Szymanski, Soszynski and Poleski, 2008, Acta Astron., 58, 69 (OGLE-III photometry)

#### Thank you for your attention